

# BMJ Quality & Safety

## Challenging the Systems Approach: Why Adverse Event Rates Are Not Improving

Journal:	<i>BMJ Quality &amp; Safety</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjqs-2014-003569
Article Type:	Correspondence
Date Submitted by the Author:	12-Sep-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Levitt, Philip; St. Mary's Hospital,
Keywords:	Quality improvement, Quality measurement, Quality improvement methodologies

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

Review Only

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

# Challenging the Systems Approach: Why Adverse Event Rates Are Not Improving

Philip Levitt, M.D.

St. Mary's Hospital, West Palm Beach, Florida  
emeritus member of the medical staff  
Retired

Word Count: 482

Funding: None

Conflict of interest: None

Confidential: For Review Only

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 The Viewpoint by Dekker and Leveson, "The Systems Approach to Medicine: Controversy and  
6 Misconceptions" [1] is in large part a rebuttal to an op-ed piece I wrote in the Los Angeles  
7 Times. [2] Therefore, I wish to reply.

8  
9 The systems approach in medicine has come to include a multiplicity of standardization  
10 techniques to correct defects--checklists, protocols, rules and data collection routines. In practice  
11 the systems approach is inseparable from these. It is exclusively the systems approach that has  
12 guided the medical profession in its efforts to improve the death and injury statistics since the  
13 publication of To Err Is Human in 1999. [3]

14  
15  
16 Despite its successes in other industries, the systems approach is not working well in medicine.  
17 There have been small, measurable triumphs in niches of medical practice—anaesthesia,  
18 preventing blood stream infections associated with central lines, and resident hand-offs.  
19 However, systems tactics have not decreased the overall number of patient harms. The Editor of  
20 this journal last year [4] and two prominent researchers, Peter Pronovost from Johns Hopkins  
21 University and Ashish Jha had Harvard, appearing before the US Senate this year, have gone on  
22 record saying that adverse events have not decreased since 1999. [5]

23  
24  
25  
26 However, the foregoing is not the main thrust of what I wish to impart. In the LA Times I wrote  
27 that based on a 20 plus year record of malpractice judgments in the National Practitioner Data  
28 Bank, 2% of the doctors commit 50% of the harmful medical errors in the US. An estimate based  
29 on patient complaints about doctors in Australia is that the hard core of bad doctors is 3% of the  
30 total. [6] It is likely that we could retire those two groups on a handsome stipend without  
31 increasing the cost of medicine in either country and this would sharply reduce the number of  
32 needless harms from medical mistakes. The other 97 or 98% of physicians, working in the same  
33 environments, individually make harmful mistakes at only one-fiftieth the rate of their less  
34 competent colleagues. The exclusion of blame is a blindfold against this common source of  
35 harmful error.

36  
37  
38  
39 I spent 30 years in clinical medicine, five of them as a hospital chief of staff. The vast majority  
40 of clinical disasters I encountered resulted from individual physicians' errors which were not  
41 amenable to modification or prevention by any known systems inspired maneuver. The errors  
42 reported in the Harvard Medical Practice Studies were mostly of that type, either technical errors  
43 or missed diagnoses. [7] The surgeons at the University of South Florida found a 4% rate of  
44 systems problems among their surgical complications when they studied thousands of operative  
45 cases. [8]

46  
47  
48 I fear that another fifteen years will go by before the medical establishment admits it was wrong  
49 and focuses on the real cause of the problem. During that interval, at least a million and a half  
50 patients will die in the US from preventable errors.

## References

1. Dekker SW, Leveson NG. The systems approach to medicine: controversy and misconceptions. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2014 Aug 7 [Epub ahead of print]
2. Levitt P. When medical errors kill: *Los Angeles Times*. March 15, 2014. Available at <http://articles.latimes.com/2014/mar/15/opinion/la-oe-levitt-doctors-hospital-errors-20140316>
3. Kohn LT, Corrigan JM, Donaldson MS, et al. Institute of Medicine. *To Err is Human: building a safer health system*. National Academies Press, 2000.
4. Shojania KG, Thomas EJ. Trends in adverse events over time: why are we not improving? *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2013;22(4):273-7.
5. US Senate Subcommittee Hearing - More Than 1,000 Preventable Deaths a Day Is Too Many: The Need to Improve Patient Safety. 430 Dirksen Senate Office Building; Washington, D.C. July 17, 2014. Available at: <http://www.help.senate.gov/hearings/hearing/?id=478e8a35-5056-a032-52f8-a65f8bd0e5ef>
6. Bismark MM, MJ Spittal, Gurrin LC, et al. Identification of Doctors at Risk of Recurrent Complaints: A National Study of Healthcare Complaints in Australia. *BMJ Qual Saf* 2013 22: 532-40.
7. Leape LL, TA Brennan, Laird N, et al. The Nature of Adverse Events in Hospitalized Patients. Results of the Harvard Medical Practice Study II. *New Engl. J Med*, 1991. 324:377-384.
8. Fabri PJ, Zayas-Castro, JL. Human Error, Not Communication and Systems, Underlies Surgical Complications. *Surgery* 2008 144(4):557-63.